## MONAD FACT #1

Scala **for comprehensions** require a **monad** to be defined in terms of **unit**, **map** and **flatMap** rather than simply in terms of **unit** and **flatMap** 







One way to define a **monad** is with the following trait

```
    @philip_schwarz
```

```
trait Monad[F[_]] {
  def flatMap[A,B](ma: F[A])(f: A => F[B]): F[B]
  def unit[A](a: => A): F[A]
```



If we define a data structure, e.g. an **Option** 

```
sealed trait Option[+A]
case object None extends Option[Nothing]
case class Some[+A](get: A) extends Option[A]
```



we can then create a **monad** instance for **Option** by providing implementations for **unit** and **flatMap** 

```
val optionMonad = new Monad[Option] {
  def unit[A](a: => A): Option[A] = Some(a)
  def flatMap[A, B](ma: Option[A])(f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] = {
    ma match {
      case Some(a) => f(a)
      case None => None
    }
  }
}
```



Here is an example of using the **Option monad** instance

```
assert(
  greeting(maybeGreeting = Some("Hello"), maybeName = Some("Fred"), maybeSurname = Some("Smith"))
  == Some("Hello Fred Smith!"))
assert(
  greeting(maybeGreeting = Some("Hello"), maybeName = None, maybeSurname = Some("Smith"))
  == None)
```

We just saw how to define the **Option monad** by defining **unit** and **flatMap** functions that operate on **Option**.

Another way of defining the **Option monad** is by adding **map** and **flatMap** functions to **Option**.



@philip\_schwarz



If we do that, then we can take advantage of a great **Scala** feature that allows code that uses the **monad** to be **much easier to understand** than woud otherwise be the case. Here is how the **greeting** example looks like using this feature.

```
sealed trait Option[+A] {
  def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] =
    this match {
      case None => None
      case Some(a) => Some(f(a))
    }
  def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] =
    this match {
      case None => None
      case Some(a) => f(a)
    }
}
case object None extends Option[Nothing]
case class Some[+A](get: A) extends Option[A]
```

assert(greeting(maybeGreeting = Some("Hello"), maybeName = Some("Fred"), maybeSurname = Some("Smith"))
== Some("Hello Fred Smith!"))
assert(greeting(maybeGreeting = Some("Hello"), maybeName = None, maybeSurname = Some("Smith"))
== None)



The feature in question is the ability to write an easy-to-understand for comprehension, whose syntactic sugar is translated by the Scala compiler into a harder-to-understand desugared chain of calls to map and flatMap





maybeGreeting flatMap { greeting => maybeName flatMap { name => maybeSurname map { surname => s"\$greeting \$name \$surname!"



**9** @philip\_schwarz

On the first slide we defined a **monad** simply in terms of **unit** and **flatMap**.

Later on, in order to take advantage of **for comprehensions**, we redefined a **monad** in terms of **unit**, **map** and **flatMap**. "We did not define a **unit** function!", I hear you say. Well, although it is not called **unit**, we did define it: it is called **Some**, because creating a 'defined' **Option**, e.g. one with a value of 5, is done by evaluating **Some**(5) (to get hold of the 'undefined' **Option** we use **None**).



So why is it that in the first approach we can simply define a **monad** in terms of **unit** and **flatMap** 

```
def flatMap[A,B](ma: F[A])(f: A => F[B]): F[B]
def unit[A](a: => A): F[A]
```

whereas in the second approach we have to define a **monad** in terms of **unit**, **map** and **flatMap**?

```
def map[B](f: A => B): Option[B] =
def flatMap[B](f: A => Option[B]): Option[B] =
case class Some[+A](get: A) extends Option[A] // Some acts as unit function
```



The answer to that question is that is that in Scala there is no Monad trait that monads can implement and that the compiler is aware of, so the compiler does not know what the unit function of a monad is because for one thing, the function can have an arbitrary name. In this case the unit function is called Some, in the case of the Either monad it is called Right, in the case of the List monad it is called List, etc.

If there were some convention by which the compiler could figure out what the **unit** function of a **monad** is, then rather than desugaring a **for comprehension** as follows:





See the following for the list of all available slide decks in the **MONAD FACT** series

slideshare <u>https://www.slideshare.net/pjschwarz/the-monad-fact-slide-deck-series</u>

