# MONAD FACT

A chain of **monadic flatMap** calls (or an equivalent **f** 

is like an *imperative program* with statements that

and the **monad** specifies w[hat occurs at](https://www.slideshare.net/pjschwarz/natural-transformations) **statem** 





The title of this slide deck comes straight from **Functional Programming in Scala** and the aim of the deck is purely to emphasize a concept that is explained therein.

Hopefully the slides make the concept more vivid for you and reinforce your grasp of it.



**Functional Programming in Scala**

### To distill **monads** to their essentials, let's look at the simplest interesting specimen, the **identity monad trait Monad[**F**[**\_**]] { def unit[**A**](**a: => A**)**: F**[**A**] def flatMap[**A,B**](**ma: F**[**A**])(**f: A => F**[**B**])**: F**[**B**] } case class Id[**A**](**value: A**) { def map[**B**](**f: A => B**)**: **Id[**B**]** = **Id(**f**(**value**)) def flatMap[**B**](**f: A => **Id[**B**])**: **Id[**B**]** = f**(**value**) } object Id { val** idMonad = **new Monad[Id] { def unit[**A**](**a: => A**)**: **Id[**A**]** = **Id(**a**) def flatMap[**A,B**](**ma: **Id[**A**])(**f: A => **Id[**B**])**: **Id[**B**]** = ma **flatMap** f **} }**

11.5.1 The **identity monad**

Now, **Id** is just a simple wrapper. It doesn't really add anything. Applying **Id** to A is an **identity** since the wrapped type and the unwrapped type are totally **isomorphic** (we can go from one to the other and back again without any loss of information).



**Functional Programming in Scala**



**Paul Chiusano @pchiusano**



**Runar Bjarnason @runarorama**

But **what is the meaning of the identity monad**? Let's try using it in the REPL:

```
scala> Id("Hello, ") flatMap (a =>
          | Id("monad!") flatMap (b =>
            Id(a + b))res0: Id[String] = Id(Hello, monad!)
```
When we write the exact same thing with a **for-comprehension**, it might be clearer:

```
scala> for {
        | a <- Id("Hello, ")
         | b <- Id("monad!")
       | } yield a + b
res1: Id[String] = Id(Hello, monad!)
```


**Functional Programming in Scala**

So **what is the action of flatMap for the identity monad**? **It's simply variable substitution**. **The variables a and b get bound to** "Hello, " **and** "monad!"**, respectively, and then substituted into the expression a** + **b**. We could have written the same thing without the **Id** wrapper, using just **Scala**'s own variables:

```
scala> val a = "Hello, "
a: String = "Hello, "
```

```
scal \mathbf{v} al \mathbf{b} = "monad!"
b: String = monad!
```

```
scala> a + b
res2: String = Hello, monad!
```
Besides the **Id** wrapper, there's no difference.

So now we have at least **a partial answer to the question of what monads mean**. We could say that **monads provide a context for introducing and binding variables, and performing variable substitution**.

Let's see if we can get the rest of the answer.

#### 11.5.2 The **State monad** and partial type application

Look back at the discussion of the **State** data type in chapter 6. Recall that we implemented some combinators for **State**, including **map** and **flatMap**.

```
case class State[S, A](run: S => (A, S)) {
 def map[B](f: A => B): State[S, B] =
    State(s => {
     val (a, s1) = run(s)(f(a), s1)
    })
 def flatMap[B](f:A => State[S, B]): State[S, B] =
    State(s => {
      val (a, s1) = run(s)f(a).run(s1)
    })
}
```


```
Functional Programming in Scala
```
It looks like **State** definitely fits the profile for being a **monad**. But its type constructor takes two type arguments, and **Monad** requires a type constructor of one argument, so we can't just say **Monad**[**State**]. But if we choose some particular S, then we have something like **State**[S, \_], which is the kind of thing expected by **Monad**. So **State** doesn't just have one **monad** instance but a whole family of them, one for each choice of S. We'd like to be able to partially apply **State** to where the S type argument is fixed to be some concrete type. This is much like how we might partially apply a function, except at the type level.



**FPiS** then goes on to find a solution to the problem of partially applying **State**[S,A] so that the S type argument is fixed to be some concrete type, whereas the A argument remains variable.

The solution consists of using a type lambda that looks like this

```
({type f[x] = State[S,x]})#f
```
Explaining type lambdas is out of scope for this slide deck, but as **FPiS** says, their syntax can be jarring when you first see it, so here is the **Scala** 3 equivalent (dotty 0.22.0-RC1) of the above lambda, which is much easier on the eye:

**[**A**]** =>> **State[**S,A**]**

In the next slide I have replaced the **Scala** 2 type lambda with the **Scala** 3 equivalent.

A type constructor declared inline like this is often called a **type lambda** in **Scala**. We can use this trick to partially apply the **State** type constructor and declare a **StateMonad** trait. An instance of **StateMonad**[S] is then a **monad** instance for the given state type S:

```
def stateMonad[S] = new Monad[[A] =>> State[S,A]] {
  def unit[A](a: => A): State[S,A] =
    State(s => (a, s))
  def flatMap[A,B](st: State[S,A])(f: A => State[S,B]): State[S,B] =
    st flatMap f
}
```


**Functional Programming in Scala**

Again, just by giving implementations of **unit** and **flatMap**, we get implementations of all the other **monadic** combinators for free.

Let's now look at the difference between the Id monad and the State monad. Remember that the primitive operations on State (besides the **monadic** operations **unit** and **flatMap**) are that we can read the current state with getState and we can set a new state with setState:

```
def getState[S]: State[S, S]
def setState[S](s: => S): State[S, Unit]
```
Remember that we also discovered that **these combinators constitute a minimal set of primitive operations** for **State**. So **together with** the monadic primitives (unit and flatMap) they completely specify everything that we can do with the State data type. This is true in general for monads—they all have unit and flatMap, and each monad brings its own set of additional primitive operations **that are specific to it**.

What does this tell us about the meaning of the **State monad**? Let's study a simple example. The details of this code aren't too important, but notice the use of **getState** and **setState** in the **for** block.

```
val F = stateMonad[Int]
def zipWithIndex[A](as: List[A]): List[(Int,A)] =
  as.foldLeft(F.unit(List[(Int, A)]()))((acc,a) => for {
   xs < -accn <- getState
       _ <- setState(n + 1)
  } yield (n, a) :: xs).run(0)._1.reverse
```


This function numbers all the elements in a list using a **State action**. It keeps a **state** that's an Int, which is incremented at each step. We run the whole **composite State action** starting from 0. We then reverse the result since we constructed it in reverse order.

Note what's going on with **getState** and **setState** in the **for-comprehension**. We're obviously getting **variable binding** just like in the **Id monad**—we're **binding the value** of each successive **state action** (**getState,** current**StateAction**, and then **setState**) to **<u>variables</u>**. But there's more going on, literally between the lines. At each line in the **for-comprehension**, the implementation of **flatMap** is making sure that the **current state** is available to **getState**, and that the **new state** gets **propagated** to all **actions** that follow a **setState**.



If you are not very familiar with the **State** monad then you might like some help to fully understand how the code on this slide works. While **FPiS** says that the details of the code aren't too important, I think this is a really useful example of the **State monad** and so in order to aid its comprehension

- 1) the next slide is the equivalent of this one but with the **FPiS** code replaced with a more verbose version in which I have a go at additional naming plus alternative or more explicit naming
- 2) the slide after that consists of the verbose version of the code plus all the **State** code that it depends on

What does this tell us about the meaning of the **State monad**? Let's study a simple example. The details of this code aren't too important, but notice the use of **getState** and **setState** in the **for** block.

```
def zipWithIndex[A](as: List[A]): List[(Int,A)] =
  val emptyIndexedList = List[(Int, A)]()
  val initialStateAction = stateMonad[Int].unit(emptyIndexedList)
  val finalStateAction =
    as.foldLeft(initialStateAction)(
      (currentStateAction, currentElement) => {
        val nextStateAction =
          for {
            currentIndexedList <- currentStateAction
            currentIndex <- getState
                                _ <- setState(currentIndex + 1)
            nextIndexedList = (currentIndex, currentElement) :: currentIndexedList
          } yield nextIndexedList
        nextStateAction
      }
    )
  val firstIndex = 0val (indexedList,_) = finalStateAction.run(firstIndex)
  indexedList.reverse
                                                                    This function numbers all the elements in a list using a
                                                                     State action. It keeps a state that's an Int, which is
                                                                     incremented at each step. We run the whole composite
                                                                     State action starting from 0. We then reverse the result
                                                                     since we constructed it in reverse order.
```
Note what's going on with **getState** and **setState** in the **for-comprehension**. We're obviously getting **variable binding** just like in the **Id monad**—we're **binding the value** of each successive **state action** (**getState,** current**StateAction**, and then **setState**) to **<u>variables</u>**. But there's more going on, literally between the lines. At each line in the **for-comprehension**, the implementation of **flatMap** is making sure that the **current state** is available to **getState**, and that the **new state** gets **propagated** to all **actions** that follow a **setState**.

```
def zipWithIndex[A](as: List[A]): List[(Int,A)] =
  val emptyIndexedList = List[(Int, A)]()
  val initialStateAction = stateMonad[Int].unit(emptyIndexedList)
  val finalStateAction =
     as.foldLeft(initialStateAction)(
       (currentStateAction, currentElement) => {
        val nextStateAction =
          for {
             currentIndexedList <- currentStateAction
            currentIndex <- getState
                               _ <- setState(currentIndex + 1)
            nextIndexedList = 
               (currentIndex, currentElement) :: currentIndexedList
           } yield nextIndexedList
        nextStateAction
       }
     )
  val firstIndex = 0val (indexedList,_) = finalStateAction.run(firstIndex)
   indexedList.reverse
trait Monad[F[_]] {
  def unit[A](a: => A): F[A]
  def flatMap[A,B](ma: F[A])(f: A => F[B]): F[B]
}
def stateMonad[S] = new Monad[[A] =>> State[S,A]] {
  def unit[A](a: => A): State[S,A] =
    State(s => (a, s))
  def flatMap[A,B](st: State[S,A])(f: A => State[S,B]): State[S,B] =
     st flatMap f
 }
```


Note that while **FPiS** tends to use **monads** via the **Monad** trait, in this particular example we are only using the trait's **unit** function: the **for comprehension** desugars to invocations of the **map** and **flatMap** functions of the **State** class.

```
case class State[S, A](run: S => (A, S)) {
   def map[B](f: A => B): State[S, B] =
     State(s => {
       val (a, s1) = run(s)(f(a), s1)
     })
   def flatMap[B](f:A => State[S, B]): State[S, B] =
     State(s => {
       val (a, s1) = run(s)f(a).run(s1)
     })
  }
 object State {
   def getState[S]: State[S, S] =
     State(s => (s, s))
   def setState[S](s: => S): State[S, Unit] =
     State(_ => ((), s))
  }
assert( zipWithIndex( List("a", "b", "c"))
```
== List**((**0,**"a")**, **(**1,**"b")**, **(**2,**"c")) )**

What does the difference between the action of **Id** and the action of **State** tell us about **monads** in general?

We can see that a chain of flatMap calls (or an equivalent for-comprehension) is like an imperative program with statements that **assign to variables, and the monad specifies what occurs at statement\_boundaries**.

For example, **with Id**, **nothing at all occurs except unwrapping and rewrapping in the Id constructor**.

With **State**, **the most current state gets passed from one statement to the next**.

With the **Option monad**, **a statement may** return **None** and **terminate the program**.

 $\mathbf{r}$  sult. With the List monad, a statement may return many results, which causes statements that follow it to potentially run multiple **times, once for each result**.

the most general possible way in terms of sequence and/or traverse **The Monad contract doesn't specify what is happening between the lines**, only that whatever is happening satisfies the laws of associativity and identity.













If you are interested in an introduction to the **State** more the following slide deck at https://www.slideshare.net/pjschv

## **State Monad**

learn how it works follow Alvin Alexander's example-driven build up to the State and then branch off into a detailed look at its inner workir











See the foll the list of a the **MONA** 

## The **MONAD FAC'I Slide Deck Series**

a very simple rationale for the series plus a list of currently available slide decks



