Applicative Functor

Part 3

learn how to use Applicative Functors with Scalaz through the work of



Sam Halliday



Debasish Ghosh



John A De Goes



Julie Moronuki Chris Martin @chris_martin @argumatronic





2 @philip_schwarz

In **Part 2**, we translated the username/password validation program from **Haskell** into **Scala** and to do that we coded our own **Scala Applicative typeclass** providing **<*>** and ***>** functions.

Let's now look at how we can avoid coding **Applicative** ourselves by using **Scalaz**.

As Sam Halliday explains in his great book, **Functional Programming for Mortals with Scalaz**, in **Scalaz** there is an **Applicative typeclass** and it extends an **Apply typeclass** which in turn extends the **Functor typeclass**.



5.6.1 Apply

Apply extends Functor by adding a method named ap which is similar to map in that it applies a function to values. However, with ap, the function is in the same context as the values.

@typeclass trait Apply[F[_]] extends Functor[F] {
 @op("<*>") def ap[A, B](fa: =>F[A])(f: =>F[A => B]): F[B]

<*> is the Advanced TIE Fighter, as flown by Darth Vader. Appropriate since it looks like an angry parent. Or a sad Pikachu.

It is worth taking a moment to consider what that means for a simple data structure like **Option**[A], having the following implementation of **ap**

```
implicit def option[A]: Apply[Option[A]] = new Apply[Option[A]] {
    override def ap[A, B](fa: => Option[A])(f: => Option[A => B]) = f match {
      case Some(ff) => fa.map(ff)
      case None => None
    }
    ...
}
```

To implement ap, we must first extract the function ff: A => B from f: Option[A => B], then we can map over fa. The <u>extraction of the function from the context</u> is <u>the important power that</u> Apply <u>brings</u>, allowing multiple functions to be combined inside the context.



Let's try out the (automatically available) Apply instance for Option

Sam Halliday 🔽 @fommil





Star Wars TIE Fighter

```
import scalaz._, Scalaz._
val inc: Int => Int = _ + 1
assert( (2.some <*> inc.some) == 3.some )
assert( ( None <*> inc.some) == None )
assert( (2.some <*> None) == None )
assert( ( None <*> None) == None )
```

Returning to Apply, we find applyX boilerplate that allows us to combine parallel functions and then map over their combined output:

```
@typeclass trait Apply[F[_]] extends Functor[F] {
```

```
def apply2[A,B,C](fa: =>F[A], fb: =>F[B])(f: (A, B) => C): F[C] = ...
def apply3[A,B,C,D](fa: =>F[A],fb: =>F[B],fc: =>F[C])(f: (A,B,C) =>D): F[D] =
...
...
```

```
def apply12[...]
```

Read apply2 as a contract promising: "if you give me an F of A and an F of B, with a way of combining A and B into a C, then I can give you an F of C". There are many uses for this contract and the two most important are:

- constructing some typeclasses for a product type C from its constituents A and B
- performing effects in parallel, like the drone and google algebras we created in Chapter 3, and then combining their results.





We'll look at this second use later on.

Remember in **Part 1**, when we saw **FP in Scala** explaining that **Applicative** can be formulated either in terms of **unit** and **map2** or in terms of **unit** and **apply** (see right hand side) ? In **Scalaz apply** is called **ap** (see previous slide), and **map2** is called **apply2**. Similarly for **map3**, **map4**, etc, which in **Scalaz** are called **apply3**, **apply4**, etc (see also next slide).



EXERCISE 12.2

Hard: The name *applicative* comes from the fact that we can formulate the Applicative interface using an alternate set of primitives, unit and the function apply, rather than unit and map2. Show that this formulation is equivalent in expressiveness by defining map2 and map in terms of unit and apply. Also establish that apply can be implemented in terms of map2 and unit.





Another reminder that in FP in Scala, Scalaz's apply2, apply3, apply4, etc are called map2, map3, map4, etc.

Scalaz's apply2, apply3, apply4, etc are called the same in Functional and Reactive Domain Modeling







This slide also reminds us of examples that we have already seen of one of the two most important uses that **Sam Halliday** attributes to functions **apply2**, **apply3**, etc, i.e. '**constructing some typeclasses for a product type C from its constituents A and B**'

The apply method is useful for implementing map3, map4, and so on, and the pattern is straightforward. Implement map3 and map4 using only unit, apply, and the curried method available on functions.

consider a web form that requires a name, a birth date, and a phone number:

case class WebForm(name: String, birthdate: Date, phoneNumber: String)

...to validate an entire web form, we can simply lift the WebForm constructor with map3:

If any or all of the functions produce Failure, the whole validWebForm method will return all of those failures combined.

After you invoke all three of the validation functions, you get three instances of $V[_]$. If all of them indicate a successful validation, you extract the validated arguments and pass them to a function, f, that constructs the final validated object. In case of failure, you report errors to the client. This gives the following contract for the workflow—let's call it apply3

```
def apply3 [V[_], A, B, C, D] (va: V[A], vb: V[B], vc: V[C])

(f: (A, B, C) => D)

: V[D] 

Validated output object

in the same context
The processing

function
```

You don't yet have the implementation of apply3. But assuming you have one, let's see how the validation code evolves out of this algebra and plugs into the smart constructor for creating a SavingsAccount:

```
def savingsAccount(no: String, name: String, rate: BigDecimal,
  openDate: Option[Date], closeDate: Option[Date],
  balance: Balance): V[Account] = {
                                                               The three contexts
                                                               of validation
  apply3(
                                                                  The function that extracts
    validateAccountNo(no),
                                                                  information from the
    validateOpenCloseDate(openDate, closeDate),
                                                                  contexts and constructs
    validateRate(rate)
                                                                  a valid SavingsAccount
  ) { (n, d, r) = >
      SavingsAccount(n, name, r, d. 1, d. 2, balance)
                                                                         \triangleleft
                                  validateOpenCloseDate returns a Tuple2, and you
```

access the two members using d._1 and d._2.

Indeed, Apply is so useful that it has special syntax:

```
implicit class ApplyOps[F[_]: Apply, A](self: F[A]) {
    def *>[B](fb: F[B]): F[B] = Apply[F].apply2(self,fb)((_,b) => b)
    def <*[B](fb: F[B]): F[A] = Apply[F].apply2(self,fb)((a,_) => a)
    def |@|[B](fb: F[B]): ApplicativeBuilder[F, A, B] = ...
}
```

The syntax <* and *> (left bird and right bird) offer a convenient way to ignore the output from one of two parallel effects.

У @philip_schwarz

Right now we are interested in *>. We'll look at |@| a bit later.

Sam Halliday 🔽 @fommil



5.7 Applicative and Monad

```
From a functionality point of view, Applicative is Apply with a pure method and Monad extends Applicative with Bind.
```

```
@typeclass trait Applicative[F[_]] extends Apply[F] {
    def point[A](a: =>A): F[A]
    def pure[A](a: =>A): F[A] = point(a)
}
```

```
@typeclass trait Monad[F[_]] extends Applicative[F] with Bind[F]
```

In many ways, Applicative and Monad are the culmination of everything we've seen in this chapter. pure (or point as it is more commonly known for data structures) allows us to create effects or data structures from values.

Instances of **Applicative** must meet some laws, effectively asserting that all the methods are consistent: ...





So **Scalaz** can automatically supply **Applicative** instances which provide the **<*>** and ***>** operators that we need for the **Scala** username and password validation program.



Remember how in **Part 2** we looked at the behaviour of <*> and *> in **Haskell**'s **Either Applicative**?

@philip_schwarz

Main> Right((+) 1) <> Right(2) Right 3

Main> Right((+) 1) <> Left("bang")
Left "bang"

Main> Left("boom") <> Right(2)
Left "boom"

Main> Left("boom") <> Left("bang")
Left "boom"

*Main> Right(2) *> Right(3)
Right 3

*Main> Left("boom") *> Right(3)
Left "boom"

*Main> Right(2) *> Left("bang")
Left "bang"

*Main> Left("boom") *> Left("bang")
Left "boom"



Let's do the same using the Scalaz Apply instance for Scalaz's Disjunction (Either)

scala> import scalaz._, Scalaz._
import scalaz._
import Scalaz._

scala> val inc: Int => Int = _ + 1
inc: Int => Int = \$\$Lambda\$4315/304552448@725936c2



No surprises here: we see exactly the same behaviour using **Scalaz** as we see using Haskell. scala> 2.right[String] <*> inc.right
res1: String \/ Int = \/-(3)

scala> 2.right[String] <*> "bang".left
res2: String \/ Nothing = -\/(bang)

scala> "boom".left[Int] <*> inc.right
res3: String \/ Int = -\/(boom)

scala> "boom".left[Int] <*> "bang".left
res4: String \/ Nothing = -\/(bang)

scala> 2.right[String] *> 3.right
res5: String \/ Int = \/-(3)

scala> "boom".left[Int] *> 3.right
res6: String \/ Int = -\/(boom)

scala> 2.right[String] *> "bang".left
res7: String \/ Nothing = -\/(bang)

scala> "boom".left[Int] *> "bang".left
res8: String \/ Nothing = -\/(boom)

<pre>assert((2.right[String]</pre>	<*>	<pre>inc.right) == 3.right.)</pre>
<pre>assert((2.right[String]</pre>	<*>	<pre>"bang".left) == "bang".left)</pre>
<pre>assert(("boom".left[Int]</pre>	<*>	<pre>inc.right) == "boom".left)</pre>
<pre>assert(("boom".left[Int]</pre>	<*>	<pre>"bang".left) == "bang".left)</pre>

assert((2.ri	ght[String] *>	3.right) ==	3.right.)
assert(("boor	n".left[Int] *>	 right) ==	<pre>"boom".left</pre>)
assert((2.ri	ght[String] *>	"bang".	left) ==	"bang".left.)
assert((" boo n	n".left[Int] *>	"bang".	left) ==	"boom".left[I	Int])



So **Scalaz** provides the **Applicative typeclass**. What else do we need in our username and password validation program?

We need the **Validation** abstraction plus an **Applicative** instance for **Validation** instances whose error type is a **Semigroup**.

And sure enough, Scalaz provides that.

data Validation err a = Failure err | Success a

instance Semigroup err => Applicative (Validation err)

```
/**
 * Represents either:
 * - Success(a), or
 * - Failure(e).
 *
 * Isomorphic to scala.Either and scalaz.\/. The motivation for a Validation is to provide the
instance
 * Applicative[[a]Validation[E, a]] that accumulate failures through a scalaz.Semigroup[E].
 *
 ...
 *
 * @tparam E The type of the Failure
 * @tparam A The type of the Success
 */
sealed abstract class Validation[E, A] extends Product with Serializable {
```



Remember how in Part 2 we looked at the behaviour of <*> and *> in Haskell's Validation Applicative, with Success being a number and Failure being a string?

@philip_schwarz

Main> Success((+) 1) <> Success(2)
Success 3

Main> Success((+) 1) <> Failure("bang")
Failure "bang"

Main> Failure("boom") <> Success(2)
Failure "boom"

Main> Failure("boom") <> Failure("bang")
Failure "boombang"

*Main> Success(2) *> Success(3) Success 3

*Main> Failure("boom") *> Success(3)
Failure "boom"

*Main> Success(2) *> Failure("bang")
Failure "bang"

*Main> Failure("boom") *> Failure("bang")
Failure "boombang"



Let's try out the Scalaz Applicative for Validation[String, Int]

Scalaz can automatically make available the **Applicative** because it can automatically make available a **Semigroup** instance for our error type, which is String.



scala> 2.success[String] <*> inc.success res0: scalaz.Validation[String,Int] = Success(3)

scala> "boom".failure[Int] <*> inc.success[String]
res1: scalaz.Validation[String,Int] = Failure(boom)

scala> 2.success[String] <*> "bang".failure[Int=>Int]
res1: scalaz.Validation[String,Int] = Failure(bang)

scala> "boom".failure[Int] <*> "bang".failure[Int=>Int] res2: scalaz.Validation[String,Int] = Failure(bangboom) scala> 2.success[String] *> inc.success
res3: scalaz.Validation[String,Int => Int] = Success(\$\$Lambda\$4315/304552448@725936c2)

```
scala> "boom".failure[Int] *> inc.success[String]
res4: scalaz.Validation[String,Int => Int] = Failure(boom)
```

scala> 2.success[String] *> "bang".failure[Int=>Int]
res5: scalaz.Validation[String,Int => Int] = Failure(bang)

scala> "boom".failure[Int] *> "bang".failure[Int=>Int]
res6: scalaz.Validation[String,Int => Int] = Failure(boombang)



The only surprise here is the different results we get when call <*> with two failures. In Haskell we get "boombang" but in Scala we get "bangboom"

assert((2.success[String]	<pre><*> inc.success</pre>) == 3.success)
<pre>assert(("boom".failure[Int]</pre>	<pre><*> inc.success[String]</pre>) == "boo m".failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[String]</pre>	<pre><*> "bang".failure[Int=>Int])</pre>) == "bang". failure)
<pre>assert(("boom".failure[Int]</pre>	<pre><*> "bang".failure[Int=>Int])</pre>) == "bangboo m".failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[String]</pre>	<pre>*> inc.success</pre>) == inc.success)
<pre>assert((2.success[String] assert(("boom".failure[Int]</pre>	<pre>*> inc.success *> inc.success[String]</pre>) == inc.success)) == "boom". failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[String] assert(("boom".failure[Int] assert((2.success[String]</pre>	<pre>*> inc.success *> inc.success[String] *> "bang".failure[Int=>Int]</pre>) == inc.success)) == "boom ".failure)) == "bang ".failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[String] assert(("boom".failure[Int] assert((2.success[String] assert(("boom".failure[Int]</pre>	<pre>*> inc.success *> inc.success[String] *> "bang".failure[Int=>Int] *> "bang".failure[Int=>Int]</pre>) == inc.success)) == "boom".failure)) == "bong".failure)) == "boombang".failure)



OK, so we looked at Validation[String, Int] in
 Scalaz, but the error in our validation program, rather than being a String, is an Error type containing a list of strings.

newtype Error = Error [String]

case class Error(error:List[String])

Validation Error Username

Validation[Error, Username]

We could do away with the Error type and just use List[String] as an error. Let's try out Validation[List[String], Int]

<pre>assert((2.success[List[String]]</pre>	<*> inc.success) == 3.success)
<pre>assert((List("boom").failure[Int]</pre>	<*> inc.success) == List("boom").failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[List[String]]</pre>	<pre><*> List("bang").failure[Int=>Int]</pre>) == List("bang").failure)
<pre>assert((List("boom").failure[Int]</pre>	<pre><*> List("bang").failure[Int=>Int]</pre>) == List("bang","boom").failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[List[String]]</pre>	<pre>*> inc.success</pre>) == inc.success)
<pre>assert((List("boom").failure[Int]</pre>	<pre>*> inc.success.</pre>) == List("boom").failure)
<pre>assert((2.success[List[String]]</pre>	<pre>*> List("bang").failure[Int=>Int]</pre>) == List("bang").failure)
<pre>assert((List("boom").failure[Int]</pre>	<pre>*> List("bang").failure[Int=>Int]</pre>) == List("boom","bang").failure)

Again, **Scalaz** can automatically make available the **Applicative** because it can automatically make available a **Semigroup** instance for our error type, which is List[String].





But strictly speaking, List[String], is not a perfect fit for our error type because while an empty list is a List[String], our list of error messages can never be empty: if we have an error situation then the list will contain at least one error. What we are looking for is the notion of non-empty list. Remember how in Part 2 we saw that Haskell has this notion?

NonEmpty, a useful datatype

One useful datatype that can't have a **Monoid** instance but does have a **Semigroup** instance is the **NonEmpty** list type. It is a list datatype that can never be an empty list...

We can't write a **Monoid** for **NonEmpty** because it has no **identity** value by design! There is no empty list to serve as an **identity** for any operation over a **NonEmpty** list, yet there is still a **binary associative operation**: two **NonEmpty** lists can still be **concatenated**.

A type with a canonical **binary associative operation** but no **identity** value is a natural fit for **Semigroup**.



2 @bitemyapp @argumatronic



Scalaz also has the notion of a **non-empty list**. It is called **NonEmptyList** and there is a mention of it in the Scaladoc for **Validation**, in the highlighted section below (which I omitted earlier)

```
/**
 * Represents either:
 * - Success(a), or
 * - Failure(e).
 *
 * Isomorphic to scala.Either and scalaz.\/. The motivation for a Validation is to provide the instance
 * Applicative[[a]Validation[E, a]] that accumulate failures through a scalaz.Semigroup[E].
 *
 * [[scalaz.NonEmptyList]] is commonly chosen as a type constructor for the type E. As a convenience,
 * an alias scalaz.ValidationNel[E] is provided as a shorthand for scalaz.Validation[NonEmptyList[E]],
 * and a method Validation#toValidationNel converts Validation[E] to ValidationNel[E].
 *
 * @tparam E The type of the Failure
 * @tparam A The type of the Success
 */
sealed abstract class Validation[E, A] extends Product with Serializable {
```

And here are the Scalaz docs for NonEmptyList and ValidationNel



```
/** A singly-linked list that is guaranteed to be non-empty. */
final class NonEmptyList[A] private[scalaz](val head: A, val tail: IList[A]) {
```

```
/**
 * An [[scalaz.Validation]] with a [[scalaz.NonEmptyList]] as the failure type.
 *
 * Useful for accumulating errors through the corresponding [[scalaz.Applicative]] instance.
 */
type ValidationNel[E, +X] = Validation[NonEmptyList[E], X]
```



Tanks to the ValidationNel alias, we can succinctly define our error as ValidationNel[String, Int] rather than as Validation[NonEmptyList[String], Int].

Let's see an example of ValidationNel[String, Int] in action

```
scala> 2.successNel[String] <*> inc.successNel
res0: scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Int] = Success(3)
```

```
scala> List("boom").failureNel[Int] <*> inc.successNel
res1: scalaz.ValidationNel[List[String],Int] = Failure(NonEmpty[List(boom)])
```

```
scala> 2.successNel[String] <*> "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int]
res2: scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Int] = Failure(NonEmpty[bang])
```

```
scala> "boom".failureNel[Int] <*> "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int]
res3: scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Int] = Failure(NonEmpty[bang,boom])
```

```
scala> 2.successNel[String] *> inc.successNel
res4: scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Int => Int] = Success($$Lambda$4315/304552448@725936c2)
```

```
scala> List("boom").failureNel[Int] *> inc.successNel
res5: scalaz.ValidationNel[List[String],Int => Int] = Failure(NonEmpty[List(boom)])
```

```
scala> 2.successNel[String] *> "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int]
res6: scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Int => Int] = Failure(NonEmpty[bang])
```

```
scala> "boom".failureNel[Int] *> "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int]
res7: scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Int => Int] = Failure(NonEmpty[boom,bang])
```

The only surprise here is the different ordering of error messages that we get when we use <*> and *> with two failures

<pre>assert((2.successNel[String] assert((List("boom").failureNel[Int] assert((2.successNel[String] assert(("boom".failureNel[Int]</pre>	<*> <*> <*> <*> <*>	<pre>inc.successNel inc.successNel "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int] "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int]</pre>)))	== == ==	<pre>3.successNel List("boom").failureNel "bang".failureNel Failure(NonEmptyList("bang"</pre>))) boom")))	
<pre>assert((2.successNel[String] assert((List("boom").failureNel[Int] assert((2.successNel[String] assert(("boom".failureNel[Int]</pre>	*> *> *> *>	<pre>inc.successNel inc.successNel "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int] "bang".failureNel[Int=>Int]</pre>)))	== == ==	<pre>inc.successNel List("boom").failureNel "bang".failureNel Failure(NonEmptyList("boom"</pre>)) ,"bang"))) *	



In **Part 2** we got the **Scala** validation program to do **I/O** using the **Cats IO Monad**.

What shall we do now that we are using Scalaz?

In June 2018, the then upcoming **Scalaz 8 effect system**, containing an **IO Monad**, was pulled out of **Scalaz 8** and made into a standalone project called **ZIO** (see <u>http://degoes.net/articles/zio-solo</u>).

Now that we are using **Scalaz**, let's do **I/O** using ZIO rather than **Cats**.



Here is how the IO Data Type is introduced on the ZIO site

zio.dev/docs/datatypes/datatypes_io



Overview Data Types

10

A value of type IO[E, A] describes an effect that may fail with an E, run forever, or produce a single A.

I0values are immutable, and allI0functionsproduce newI0values, enablingI0to be reasonedabout and used like any ordinaryScala immutable datastructure.

IO values do not actually *do* anything; they are just values that *model* or *describe* effectful interactions.

I0 can be *interpreted* by the ZIO runtime system into effectful interactions with the external world. Ideally, this occurs at a single time, in your application's main function. The App class provides this functionality automatically.



/**

IO[E,A] however, is just an alias for **ZIO**[Any, E, A]

type IO[+E, +A] = **ZIO**[Any, E, A]



where **ZIO** is defined as follows:

* A ZIO[R, E, A] ("Zee-Oh of Are Eeh Aye") is an immutable data structure * that models an effectful program. The effect requires an environment R, * and the effect may fail with an error E or produce a single A.

sealed trait ZIO[-R, +E, +A] extends Serializable { self =>







@philip_schwarz

OK, so we are finally ready to see a new version of the **Scala** validation program using **Scalaz** and **ZIO**.

In the next four slides we'll look at how the new version differs from the existing one.

Then in the subsequent slides, we'll look at the new version side by side with the **Haskell** version.

Using Scalaz instead of plain Scala



Because Scalaz provides all the abstractions we





The only changes are that **Error** is no longer needed and that rather than using our own **Validation**, we are using **Scalaz's ValidationNel** and creating instances of it by calling **failureNel** and **successNel**.



<pre>case class Username(username: String) case class Password(password:String)</pre>	<pre>case class Username(username: String) case class Password(password:String)</pre>
case class User(username: Username, password: Password)	case class User(username: Username, password: Password)
<pre>case class Error(error:List[String])</pre>	
<pre>def checkUsernameLength(username: String): Validation[Error, Username] = username.length > 15 match { case true => Failure(Error(List("Your username cannot be " +</pre>	<pre>def checkUsernameLength(username: String): ValidationNel[String, Username] = username.length > 15 match { case true => "Your username cannot be longer " + "than 15 characters.".failureNel case false => Username(username).successNel }</pre>
<pre>def checkPasswordLength(password: String): Validation[Error, Password] = password.length > 20 match { case true => Failure(Error(List("Your password cannot be " +</pre>	<pre>def checkPasswordLength(password: String): ValidationNel[String, Password] = password.length > 20 match { case true => "Your password cannot be longer " + "than 20 characters.".failureNel case false => Password(password).successNel } }</pre>
<pre>def requireAlphaNum(password: String): Validation[Error, String] = password.forall(isLetterOrDigit) match { case false => Failure(Error(List("Cannot contain white " + "space or special characters."))) case true => Success(password) } }</pre>	<pre>def requireAlphaNum(password: String): ValidationNel[String, String] = password.forall(isLetterOrDigit) match { case false => "Cannot contain white space " + "or special characters.".failureNel case true => password.successNel } </pre>
<pre>def cleanWhitespace(password:String): Validation[Error, String] = password.dropWhile(isWhitespace) match { case pwd if pwd.isEmpty => Failure(Error(List("Cannot be empty."))) case pwd => Success(pwd) }</pre>	<pre>def cleanWhitespace(password:String): ValidationNel[String, String] = password.dropWhile(isWhitespace) match { case pwd if pwd.isEmpty => "Cannot be empty.".failureNel case pwd => pwd.successNel } }</pre>

Using plain Scala



Here the changes are not using **Error**, using **ValidationNel** instead of **Validation**, plus some small changes in how <*> and *> are invoked.



<pre>def validateUsername(username: Username): Validation[Error, Username] = username match { case Username(username) => cleanWhitespace(username) match { case Failure(err) => Failure(err) case Success(username2) => *>(requireAlphaNum(username2),checkUsernameLength(username2)) } }</pre>	<pre>def validateUsername(username: Username): ValidationNel[String, Username] = username match { case Username(username) => cleanWhitespace(username) match { case Failure(err) => Failure(err) case Success(username2) => requireAlphaNum(username2) *> checkUsernameLength(username2) } } }</pre>
<pre>def validatePassword(password: Password): Validation[Error, Password] = password match { case Password(pwd) => cleanWhitespace(pwd) match { case Failure(err) => Failure(err) case Success(pwd2) => *>(requireAlphaNum(pwd2),checkPasswordLength(pwd2)) } } }</pre>	<pre>def validatePassword(password: Password): ValidationNel[String, Password] = password match { case Password(pwd) => cleanWhitespace(pwd) match { case Failure(err) => Failure(err) case Success(pwd2) => requireAlphaNum(pwd2) *> checkPasswordLength(pwd2) } } }</pre>
<pre>def makeUser(name:Username,password:Password):Validation[Error, User] =</pre>	<pre>def makeUser(name:Username,password:Password):ValidationNel[String, User] = validatePassword(password) <*> (validateUsername(name) map User.curried)</pre>





Not much at all to say about differences in the way I/O is done



Using Cats

Using ZIO

```
import cats.effect.IO
                                                                    import zio.App, zio.console.{getStrLn, putStrLn}
                                                                    object MyApp extends App {
object MyApp extends App {
def getLine = I0 { scala.io.StdIn.readLine }
                                                                      def run(args: List[String]) =
def print(s: String): IO[Unit] = IO { scala.Predef.print(s) }
                                                                        appLogic.fold( => 1, => 0)
val main =
                                                                      val appLogic =
                                                                        for {
 for {
        <- print("Please enter a username.\n")
                                                                               <- putStrLn("Please enter a username.\n")
   usr <- getLine map Username
                                                                          usr <- getStrLn map Username
        <- print("Please enter a password.\n")
                                                                               <- putStrLn("Please enter a password.\n")
   pwd <- getLine map Password</pre>
                                                                           pwd <- getStrLn map Password</pre>
        <- print(makeUser(usr,pwd).toString)</pre>
                                                                               <- putStrLn(makeUser(usr,pwd).toString)</pre>
  } yield ()
                                                                        } yield ()
```



Now let's see the whole of the new version of the Scala program side by side with the Haskell program

2 @philip_schwarz

newtype Username = Username String deriving Show	<pre>newtype Password = Password String deriving Show</pre>	<pre>case class Username(username: String) case class Password(password:String) case class User(username: Username, password: Password)</pre>
data User = User Username Password deriving Show	<pre>newtype Error = Error [String] deriving Show</pre>	
<pre>checkUsernameLength :: String -> Valia checkUsernameLength username = case (length username > 15) of True -> Failure (Error "Your username</pre>	dation Error Username sername cannot be \ r than 15 characters.") name)	<pre>def checkUsernameLength(username: String): ValidationNel[String, Username] = username.length > 15 match { case true => "Your username cannot be longer " + "than 15 characters.".failureNel case false => Username(username).successNel } </pre>
<pre>checkPasswordLength :: String -> Valid checkPasswordLength password = case (length password > 20) of True -> Failure (Error "Your parts</pre>	dation Error Password assword cannot be \ r than 20 characters.") word)	<pre>def checkPasswordLength(password: String): ValidationNel[String, Password] = password.length > 20 match { case true => "Your password cannot be longer " + "than 20 characters.".failureNel case false => Password(password).successNel } }</pre>
<pre>requireAlphaNum :: String -> Validation requireAlphaNum input = case (all isAlphaNum input) of False -> Failure "Your password \white space of True -> Success input</pre>	on Error String cannot contain \ or special characters."	<pre>def requireAlphaNum(password: String): ValidationNel[String, String] = password.forall(isLetterOrDigit) match { case false => "Cannot contain white space " +</pre>
<pre>cleanWhitespace :: String -> Validatio cleanWhitespace "" = Failure (Error ") cleanWhitespace (x : xs) = case (isSpace x) of True -> cleanWhitespace xs False -> Success (x : xs)</pre>	on Error String Your password cannot be empty.")	<pre>def cleanWhitespace(password:String): ValidationNel[String, String] = password.dropWhile(isWhitespace) match { case pwd if pwd.isEmpty => "Cannot be empty.".failureNel case pwd => pwd.successNel } Scala</pre>



<pre>makeUser :: Username -> Password -> Validation Error User makeUser name password =</pre>		<pre>def makeUser(name: Username, password: Password): ValidationNel[String, User] = validatePassword(password) <*> (validateUsername(name) map User.curried)</pre>		
<pre>User <\$> validateUsername name</pre>	»=Haskell	≢ Scala		
<pre>main :: IO () main = do putStr "Please enter a username.\n> " username <- Username <\$> getLine putStr "Please enter a password.\n> " password <- Password <\$> getLine print (makeUser username password)</pre>		<pre>object MyApp extends App { def run(args: List[String]) = appLogic.fold(_ => 1, _ => 0) val appLogic = for { _</pre>	<pre>import zio.App import zio.console.{ getStrLn, putStrLn</pre>	

_ <- putStrLn(makeUser(usr,pwd).toString)
} yield ()</pre>



As you can see, the **Scala** and **Haskell** programs now are very similar and pretty much the same size.



Now let's go back to the second main use of the applyX functions that we saw in Scalaz's Apply typeclass on slide 2, i.e. performing effects in parallel.

@philip_schwarz









flatMap (i.e. when we use the <- generator) allows us to operate on a value that is computed at runtime. When we return an $F[_]$ we are returning another program to be interpreted at runtime, that we can then flatMap.

This is how we safely chain together sequential side-effecting code, whilst being able to provide a pure implementation for tests. FP could be described as Extreme Mocking.

2 @fommil



The application that we have designed runs each of its algebraic methods sequentially (pseudocode)

state = initial() while True: state = update(state) state = act(state)

But there are some obvious places where work can be performed in parallel. In our definition of initial we could ask for all the information we need at the same time instead of one query at a time.

As opposed to flatMap for sequential operations, Scalaz uses Apply syntax for parallel operations

Functional Programming for Mortals with Scalaz Sam Halliday







Before he does that, in order to better understand the switch, we will first carry it out without using any syntactic sugar. Remember Apply's applyX functions (apply2, apply3, etc) for applying a function f with N parameters to N argument values, each in a context F, and produce a result, also in a context F (see slide 4)?

Since F is a Monad and every Monad is also an Applicative, instead of obtaining the N arguments for function f by chaining the Fs together sequentially using flatMap, we can have the Fs computed in parallel and passed to applyN, which then obtains from them the arguments for function f and invokes the latter.

fa: => F[A], fb: => F[B], fc: => F[C], fd: => F[D], fe: => F[E]

def apply5[A, B, C, D, E, R]

final class DynAgentsModule[F[]: Monad](D: Drone[F], M: Machines[F]) extends DynAgents[F] { def initial: F[WorldView] = def initial: F[WorldView] = for {)(f: (A, B, C, D, E) => R): F[R]Apply[F].apply5(db <- D.getBacklog D.getBacklog, da <- D.getAgents D.getAgents, SWITCH mm <- M.getManaged M.getManaged, ma <- M.getAlive M.getAlive, mt <- M.getTime</pre> M.getTime } yield WorldView(db, da, mm, ma, Map.empty, mt)){ case (db, da, mm, ma, mt) => WorldView(db, da, mm, ma, Map.empty, mt) } def update(old: WorldView): F[WorldView] = ... def act(world: WorldView): F[WorldView] = ...



Now that we have seen how to use **applyX** to switch from **sequential** to **parallel** computation of **effects**, let's see how **Sam Halliday** did the same switch, but right from the start, used more convenient **Apply syntax**.



In our definition of initial we could ask for all the information we need at the same time instead of one query at a time.

As opposed to **flatMap** for sequential operations, **scalaz** uses **Apply** syntax for **parallel operations**:

```
^^^(D.getBacklog, D.getAgents, M.getManaged, M.getAlive, M.getTime)
```

which can also use infix notation:

}

```
(D.getBacklog |@| D.getAgents |@| M.getManaged |@| M.getAlive |@| M.getTime)
```

If each of the parallel operations returns a value in the same **monadic context**, we can apply a function to the results when they all return. Rewriting update to take advantage of this:

```
def initial: F[WorldView] =
    ^^^^(D.getBacklog, D.getAgents, M.getManaged, M.getAlive, M.getTime) {
    case (db, da, mm, ma, mt) => WorldView(db, da, mm, ma, Map.empty, mt)
```



Functional





One place where the *Q* syntax is mentioned is in **Scalaz's** ApplySyntax.scala

```
@philip_schwarz
```



The *[@]* operator has many names. Some call it the *Cartesian Product Syntax*, others call it the *Cinnamon Bun*, the *Admiral Ackbar* or the *Macaulay Culkin*. We prefer to call it The *Scream operator*, after the Munch painting, because it is also the sound your CPU makes when it is parallelising All The Things.

Functional Programming for Mortals with Scalaz



😏 @fommil

@



The Scream. Edward Munch



Unfortunately, although the *[@]* syntax is clear, there is a problem in that a new Applicative-Builder object is allocated for each additional effect. If the work is **I/O-bound**, the memory allocation cost is insignificant. However, when performing **CPU-bound** work, use the alternative lifting with arity syntax, which does not produce any intermediate objects:

```
def ^[F[_]: Apply,A,B,C](fa: =>F[A],fb: =>F[B])(f: (A,B) =>C): F[C] = ...
def ^^[F[_]: Apply,A,B,C,D](fa: =>F[A],fb: =>F[B],fc: =>F[C])(f: (A,B,C) =>D): F[D] = ...
...
def ^^^^[F[_]: Apply, ...]
```

used like

^^^(d.getBacklog, d.getAgents, m.getManaged, m.getAlive, m.getTime)



type ValidationNelString[A] = ValidationNel[String,A]

using apply2

using <*>

def makeUser(name: Username, password: Password): ValidationNel[String, User] =
 Apply[ValidationNelString].apply2(validateUsername(name), validatePassword(password))(User)

using </u>

using ^

def makeUser(name: Username, password: Password): ValidationNel[String, User] =
 (validateUsername(name) @ validatePassword(password))(User)



To conclude this slide deck, let's look at final validation example using **Scalaz** and the *@* syntax. The example is provided by **Debasish Ghosh** in his great book **Functional and Reactive Domain Modeling**.

Here's the basic structure of **Validation** in **Scalaz**:

sealed abstract class Validation[+E, +A] { //.. }
final case class Success[A](a: A) extends Validation[Nothing, A]
final case class Failure[E](e: E) extends Validation[E, Nothing]

This looks awfully similar to scala.Either[+A,+B], which also has two variants in Left and Right. In fact, scalaz.Validation is isomorphic to scala.Either²⁶. In that case, <u>why have scalaz.Validation as a separate abstraction? Validation gives you the power to accumulate failures</u>, which is a common requirement when designing domain models.

A typical use case arises when you're validating a web-based form containing many fields and you want to report all errors to the user at once. If you construct a Validation with a Failure type that has a Semigroup²⁷, the library provides an applicative functor for Validation, which can accumulate all errors that can come up in the course of your computation. This also highlights an important motivation for using libraries such as Scalaz: You get to enjoy more powerful functional abstractions on top of what the Scala standard library offers. Validation is one of these functional patterns that make your code more powerful, succinct, and free of boilerplates.

In our discussion of **applicative functors** and the use case of validation of account attributes, we didn't talk about strategies of handling failure. But because in an **applicative effect** you get to execute all the validation functions independently, regardless of the outcome of any one of them, a useful strategy for error reporting is one that accumulates all errors and reports them at once to the user.

The question is, should the error-handling strategy be part of the application code or can you abstract this in the pattern itself? The advantage of abstracting the error-handling strategy as part of the pattern is increased reusability and less boilerplate in application code, which are areas where FP shines. And as I've said, a beautiful combination of Applicative Functor and Semigroup patterns enables you to have this concern abstracted within the library itself. When you start using this approach, you'll end up with a library of fundamental patterns for composing code generically. And types will play a big role in ensuring that the abstractions are correct by construction, and implementation details don't leak into application-specific code. You'll explore more of this in exercises 4.2 and 4.3 and in the other examples in the online code repository for this chapter.



²⁷ A semigroup is a monoid without the zero.

EXERCISE 4.2 ACCUMULATING VALIDATION ERRORS (APPLICATIVELY)

Section 4.2.2 presented the **Applicative Functor pattern** and used it to model validations of domain entities. Consider the following function that takes a bunch of parameters and returns a fully-constructed, valid Account to the user:

```
def savingsAccount(
   no: String,
   name: String,
   rate: BigDecimal,
   openDate: Option[Date],
   closeDate: Option[Date],
   balance: Balance
```

٠

```
): ValidationNel[String,Account] = { //..
```

- The return type of the function is scalaz.ValidationNel[String,Account], which is a shorthand for Validation[NonEmptyList[String],Account]. If all validations succeed, the function returns Success[Account], or else it must return all the validation errors in Failure. This implies that all validation functions need to run, regardless of the outcome of each of them. This is the applicative effect.
- You need to implement the following validation rules:
 - 1. account numbers must have a minimum length of 10 characters,
 - 2. the rate of interest has to be positive, and
 - 3. the open date (default to today if not specified) must be before the close date.
- Hint: Take a deep look at Scalaz's implementation of Validation[E, A]. Note how it provides an Applicative instance that supports accumulation of error messages through Semigroup[E]. Note Semigroup is Monoid without a zero.



https://github.com/debasishg/frdomain/blob/master/src/main/scala/frdomain/ch4/patterns/Account.scala

```
/**
  * Uses Applicative instance of ValidationNEL which
  * accumulates errors using Semigroup
 */
object FailSlowApplicative {
  import scalaz.
  import syntax.apply. , syntax.std.option. , syntax.validation.
  private def validateAccountNo(no: String): ValidationNel[String, String] =
    if (no.isEmpty || no.size < 5)</pre>
        s"Account No has to be at least 5 characters long: found $no".failureNel[String]
    else no.successNel[String]
  private def validateOpenCloseDate(od:Date,cd:Option[Date]): ValidationNel[String,String] = cd.map { c =>
      if (c before od)
        s"Close date [$c] cannot be earlier than open date [$od]".failureNel[(Option[Date], Option[Date])]
      else (od.some, cd).successNel[String]
  }.getOrElse { (od.some, cd).successNel[String] }
  private def validateRate(rate: BigDecimal): ValidationNel[String,String] =
    if (rate <= BigDecimal(0))</pre>
      s"Interest rate $rate must be > 0".failureNel[BigDecimal]
    else rate.successNel[String]
```





Debasish Ghosh

https://github.com/debasishg/frdomain/blob/master/src/main/scala/frdomain/ch4/patterns/Account.scala

```
final case class CheckingAccount(
 no: String, name: String, dateOfOpen: Option[Date], dateOfClose: Option[Date] = None, balance: Balance = Balance())
extends Account
final case class SavingsAccount(
 no:String, name:String, rateOfInterest:Amount, dateOfOpen:Option[Date], dateOfClose:Option[Date]=None, balance:Balance = Balance())
extends Account
def savingsAccount(no:String, name:String, rate:BigDecimal, openDate:Option[Date], closeDate: Option[Date], balance: Balance):
 Validation[NonEmptyList[String], Account] = {
 val od = openDate.getOrElse(today)
  (validateAccountNo(no) | a validateOpenCloseDate(openDate.getOrElse(today), closeDate) | a validateRate(rate)) { (n, d, r) =>
    SavingsAccount(n, name, r, d._1, d._2, balance)
def checkingAccount(no:String, name:String, openDate:Option[Date], closeDate: Option[Date], balance: Balance):
 Validation[NonEmptyList[String], Account] = {
 val od = openDate.getOrElse(today)
  (validateAccountNo(no) |@| validateOpenCloseDate(openDate.getOrElse(today), closeDate)) { (n, d) =>
    CheckingAccount(n, name, d. 1, d. 2, balance)
                                                                                                                           FUNCTIONAL AND REACTIVE
                                                                                                                           DOMAIN MODELING
                                                                             Debasish Ghosh
                                                                             2 @debasishg
https://github.com/debasishg/frdomain/blob/master/src/main/scala/frdomain/ch4/patterns/Account.scala
                                                                                                                           MANNING
```

to be continued in Part IV